



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,155	07/14/2003	Douglas T. Gjerde	P002.210	9520
55130 7590 05/13/2008				
PHYNEXUS, INC.				
3670 CHARTER PARK DRIVE				
SAN JOSE, CA 95136				
EXAMINER				
RAMILLANO, LORE JANET				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1797				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/13/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/620,155

Applicant(s)

GJERDE ET AL.

Examiner

LORE RAMILLANO

Art Unit

1797

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LORE RAMILLANO.

(3) _____.

(2) Christopher Holman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 8, and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tuvim, Colpan, and Brewer.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Holman proposed amending claim 1 by including the subject matter of claim 19 into claim 1 and proposed including claim language to broaden the bed volume range. Mr. Holman further discussed the differences between applicant's invention and Tuvim by emphasizing that Tuvim only discloses frits for liquid chromatography purposes and does not disclose using frits for solid-phase liquid extraction. Examiner will consider Mr. Holman's arguments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jill Warden/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.